

## केन्द्रीय विद्युत विनियामक आयोग

## CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION



## / MOST IMMEDIATE-BY HAND ONLY /

No. 15/9(1)/2011/APTEL-TA/FOR/CERC

Dated: 30th January, 2013

The Registrar
Appellate Tribunal for Electricity
7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Core-4, SCOPE Complex
Lodhi Road
New Delhi 110003

Subject: Compliance of the directions given by the Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal for Electricity in OP No. 1 of 2011– Submission of additional information

Sir,

Subsequent to our submission dated 17.1.2013 to the Hon'ble APTEL in compliance of OP No. 1 of 2011, we are in receipt of revised responses from Karnataka, Maharashtra and Rajasthan Electricity Regulatory Commissions. Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Gujarat, Manipur & Mizoram and Sikkim Electricity Regulatory Commissions have again sent the responses which are in line with their responses made earlier on the basis of which FOR had prepared its response dated 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2013. The revised summarized report in the format suggested by Amicus Curiae Counsel for all the responses received from the Electricity Regulatory Commissions as on 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2013 is enclosed at Annexure I.

 The replies received from Karnataka, Maharashtra and Rajasthan Electricity Regulatory Commissions are enclosed at Annexure II.

Yours faithfully,

30.1.13

Encl: As above (4 copies)

(Rajiv Bansal) Secretary, CERC/FoR

Copy to: Amicus Curiae Counsels (4 copies)

तीसरी और चौथी मंजिल, चन्द्रलोक बिल्डिंग, 36, जनपथ, नई दिल्ली-110001 3rd & 4th Floor, Chanderlok Building, 36, Janpath, New Delhi-110 001

Phone: 91-11-23353503 Fax: 91-11-23753923
Web Site: www.cercind.gov.in E-mail: info@cercind.gov.in

## Status of compliance of APTEL order OP No. 1 of 2011: For financial year 2012-13

[Status update regarding Regulatory Commissions of Andhra Pradesh, Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Delhi, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Haryana, Jammu & Kashmir, Jharkhand, Joint ERC (Goa & UTs), Joint ERC (Mizoram & Manipur), Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Meghalaya, Nagaland, Odisha, Punjab, Rajasthan, Sikkim, Tamil Nadu, Tripura, Uttarakhand, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal]

S.	Parameter	Name of the ERCs which have	Name of the ERCs which have	Name of the ERCs that did
No.		complied	not complied	not report as per the
				prescribed format
1.	<b>Annual Performance</b>	1. Andhra Pradesh	1. Gujarat	Arunachal Pradesh
	review petition filed	2. Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL)	2. Himachal Pradesh	2. Assam
	as per the	3. Bihar	3. Jammu & Kashmir (J&K)	3. Haryana
	requirements of the	4. Delhi	4. JERC (Manipur & Mizoram)	4. Jharkhand
	regulations	5. JERC (Goa & UTs)	5. Madhya Pradesh	5. Kerala
	regulations	6. Karnataka	6. Rajasthan	6. Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)
		7. Meghalaya	7. Uttar Pradesh (for DVVNL,	7. Nagaland
		8. Odisha	MVVNL, PVVNL and PuVVNL)	8. Sikkim
		9. Punjab	8. West Bengal (for WBSEDCL)	9. Uttarakhand <sup>1</sup>
		10. Tamil Nadu		
		11. Tripura		
		12. Uttar Pradesh (for NPCL)		
2	<b>Annual Performance</b>	1. Andhra Pradesh <sup>2</sup>	1. Gujarat	Arunachal Pradesh
	review order being	2. Bihar	2. Himachal Pradesh	2. Assam
	issued as per the	3. Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL)	3. JERC (M&M)	3. Delhi
	requirements of the	4. Karnataka	4. J & K	4. Haryana
	regulations	5. Meghalaya	5. Madhya Pradesh	5. Jharkhand
	regulations	6. Odisha	6. Rajasthan	6. JERC (Goa & UTs)
		7. Punjab	7. Tripura	7. Kerala
		8. Uttar Pradesh (for NPCL)	8. Uttar Pradesh (for DVVNL,	8. Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)
			MVVNL, PVVNL and PuVVNL)	9. Nagaland
			9. West Bengal (for WBSEDCL)	10. Sikkim
				11. Tamil Nadu
				12. Uttarakhand

3	ARR petitions to be	1. Andhra Pradesh <sup>2</sup>	1. Assam	Arunachal Pradesh
	filed as per the	2. Bihar	2. Delhi	2. Jharkhand
	requirements of the	3. Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL, JSPL)	3. Gujarat	3. Nagaland
	regulations	4. Haryana	4. Himachal Pradesh	4. Sikkim
	regulations	5. Karnataka	5. J & K	
		6. Madhya Pradesh	6. JERC (Goa & UTs)	
		7. Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)	7. JERC (M&M)	
		8. Meghalaya	8. Kerala	
		9. Odisha	9. Uttar Pradesh (for DVVNL,	
		10. Punjab	MVVNL, PVVNL and PuVVNL)	
		11. Rajasthan	10. West Bengal (WBSEDCL)	
		12. Tamil Nadu		
		13. Tripura		
		14. Uttarakhand		
		15. Uttar Pradesh (NPCL)		
4	Issuance of tariff	1. Andhra Pradesh <sup>2</sup>	1. Assam <sup>3</sup>	Arunachal Pradesh
	orders as per the	2. Bihar	2. Delhi	2. Jharkhand
	requirements of the	3. Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL, JSPL)	3. Gujarat	3. Nagaland
	regulations	4. Haryana	4. J&K	4. Sikkim <sup>15</sup>
	1 eguiutions	5. Himachal Pradesh	5. JERC (Goa & UTs)	
		6. Karnataka	6. JERC (M&M)	
		7. Kerala	7. Punjab	
		8. Madhya Pradesh	8. Rajasthan	
		9. Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)	9. Uttarakhand	
		10. Meghalaya	10. Uttar Pradesh	
		11. Odisha	11. West Bengal	
		12. Tamil Nadu		
		13. Tripura		
5	Tariff applicability	1. Andhra Pradesh	1. Delhi	1. Arunachal Pradesh
	only till	2. Bihar	2. Gujarat	2. Assam <sup>3</sup>
	the end of the	3. Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL)	3. JERC (M&M)	3. Jharkhand
	financial year	4. Haryana	4. Karnataka	4. Kerala
		5. Himachal Pradesh	5. Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)	5. Nagaland
		6. J&K	6. Rajasthan	
		7. JERC (Goa & UTs)	7. Sikkim	

6	Initiation of Suo Motu	8. Madhya Pradesh 9. Meghalaya 10. Odisha 11. Punjab 12. Tamil Nadu 13. Tripura 14. Uttarakhand 1. Gujarat 2. Sikkim	8. Uttar Pradesh 9. West Bengal	
	filing	Z. SIRRIII		
7	Revenue gap between Average Revenue Requirement (ARR) and Average Cost of Supply (ACS) per unit	States where revenue gap is nil:  1. Andhra Pradesh 2. Himachal Pradesh 3. Karnataka 4. Madhya Pradesh 5. Maharashtra (for MSEDCL) 6. Meghalaya 7. Tamil Nadu 8. Uttarakhand 9. West Bengal (for WBSEDCL)  Positive revenue gap: 1. Delhi (BYPL & NDMC) 2. Gujarat (Torrent Power Limited - Surat) 3. Haryana 4. Odisha	States which have shown revenue gap: 1. Bihar 2. Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL and BSP) 3. Delhi (BRPL and TPDDL) 4. Gujarat (DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL, UGVCL,TPL-A, MUPL) 5. JERC (Goa & UTs –Puducherry, Andaman & Nicobar, Goa) 6. JERC (M&M) 7. J&K 8. Kerala 9. Rajasthan 10. Sikkim 11. Tripura 12. Uttar Pradesh	States which have not furnished details on revenue gap:  1. Arunachal Pradesh 2. Assam 3. Jharkhand 4. Nagaland 5. Punjab
8	Treatment of revenue	ERCs which have not allowed	ERCs which have allowed	
	gap^	creation of regulatory assets: 1. Andhra Pradesh 2. Chhattisgarh (for BSP) 3. Gujarat 4. Himachal Pradesh	<ol> <li>creation of regulatory assets:</li> <li>Bihar</li> <li>Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL)</li> <li>JERC (Goa &amp; UTs) –Puducherry</li> <li>Rajasthan</li> </ol>	

		5. JERC (Goa & UTs)- Chandigarh	5.	Tamil Nadu	
		6. Karnataka <sup>11</sup>	6.	Uttar Pradesh(for NPCL)	
		7. Kerala	7.		
			/.	West Bengal (WBSEDCL) <sup>17</sup>	
		8. Madhya Pradesh			
		9. Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)			
		10. Odisha			
		11. Punjab			
		12. Sikkim			
		13. Tripura			
		14. Uttarakhand			
		15. Uttar Pradesh (for MVVNL, PVVNL,			
		PuVVNL, DVVNL)			
		States where the gap is being met by			
		the Government:			
		1. JERC (M&M)			
		2. J&K			
9	True up filing as per	1. Andhra Pradesh	1.	JERC (M&M)	Arunachal Pradesh
		2. Bihar	2.	Delhi	2. Assam
	the regulations	3. Chhattisgarh	3.	Rajasthan	3. Gujarat
		4. Madhya Pradesh	4.	Uttar Pradesh	4. Himachal Pradesh
		5. Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)	٦٠.	Ottai i radesii	5. Haryana
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, , ,
		7. Punjab			7. Jharkhand
		8. Tamil Nadu			8. JERC (Goa & UTs)
					9. Karnataka
					10. Kerala
					11. Meghalaya
					12. Nagaland
					13. Sikkim
					14. Tripura
					15. Uttarakhand
					16. West Bengal
10	True up order as per	1. Andhra Pradesh	1.	Delhi	Arunachal Pradesh
	the regulations	2. Bihar	2.	Punjab <sup>14</sup>	2. Assam
		3. Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL)	3.	v	3. Gujarat

		<ol> <li>Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>Haryana</li> <li>Madhya Pradesh</li> <li>Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)</li> <li>Odisha</li> <li>Tamil Nadu</li> </ol>	4. Uttar Pradesh	4. Jammu & Kashmir 5. Jharkhand 6. JERC (Goa & UTs) 7. JERC (M&M) 8. Karnataka 9. Kerala 10. Meghalaya 11. Nagaland 12. Sikkim 13. Tripura 14. Uttarakhand 15. West Bengal
11	Fuel surcharge adjustment formula/mechanism in the regulations	<ol> <li>Andhra Pradesh</li> <li>Assam</li> <li>Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL)</li> <li>Delhi</li> <li>Gujarat</li> <li>Haryana</li> <li>Himachal Pradesh</li> <li>JERC (Goa &amp; UTs)</li> <li>JERC (M &amp;M)</li> <li>Kerala</li> <li>Madhya Pradesh</li> <li>Maharashtra (for MSEDCL)</li> <li>Meghalaya</li> <li>Odisha</li> <li>Punjab</li> <li>Rajasthan</li> <li>Tamil Nadu</li> <li>Tripura</li> <li>Uttar Pradesh (for DVVNL, MVVNL, PVVNL, PuVVNL and NPCL)</li> <li>West Bengal</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Bihar</li> <li>Jammu &amp; Kashmir</li> <li>Karnataka<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Himachal Pradesh<sup>6</sup></li> <li>Uttarakhand<sup>6</sup></li> <li>Sikkim<sup>16</sup></li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Arunachal Pradesh</li> <li>Nagaland</li> </ol>

12	Frequency of	Quarterly:	Monthly:		
	adjustment <sup>13</sup>	Andhra Pradesh	1. Bihar		
		2. Assam	2. Chhattisgarh		
		3. Chhattisgarh (for	(for		
		CSPDCL)	JSPL)		
		4. Delhi	3. JERC		
		5. Gujarat	$(M&M)^{12}$		
		6. Haryana	4. Maharashtra		
		7. Himachal Pradesh			
		8. JERC (Goa &	Yearly:		
		UTs)	1. West		
		9. Kerala	Bengal		
		10. Madhya Pradesh	Bengui		
		11. Meghalaya			
		12. Punjab			
		13. Rajasthan			
		14. Tamil Nadu			
		15. Tripura			
		16. Uttar Pradesh (for			
		MVVNL,			
		PVVNL,			
		PuVVNL			
		DVVNL, NPCL)			
13	Fuel Surcharge	Andhra Pradesh		1. Karnataka <sup>8</sup>	Arunachal Pradesh
	adjustment being	2. Assam		2. Sikkim <sup>9</sup>	2. J & K
	done as per the	3. Bihar		3. JERC (M&M) <sup>10</sup>	3. Jharkhand
	Regulations	4. Chhattisgarh (for C	SPDCL)	4. Rajasthan	4. Kerala
	regulations	5. Delhi		5. Tripura	5. Meghalaya
		6. Gujarat			6. Nagaland
		7. Haryana			7. Odisha
		8. Himachal Pradesh			
		9. JERC (Goa & UTs)	1		
		10. Madhya Pradesh			
		11. Maharashtra			
		12. Punjab			

13. Tamil Nadu 14. Uttarakhand <sup>7</sup>
15. Uttar Pradesh (for MVVNL, PVVNL,
PuVVNL, DVVNL and NPCL)
16. West Bengal

<sup>1:</sup> Uttarakhand ERC stated that APR filing has been incorporated in MYT Regulation, 2011 which shall come into effect from 01.04.2013, i.e. for the Control Period FY 2013-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>: Andhra Pradesh ERC has reported that the time for issuing the APR order is not yet due.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>: Assam ERC stated that following the APTEL order in OP No.1 of 2011, the Commission directed the licensees (APGCL, AEGCL and APDCL) to comply with the order and file petition for APR of 2011-12, True Up for 2009-10 and 2010-11 and determination of ARR for 2012-13. Subsequently, APGCL filed the petition on 28.09.2012 while the Transco and Discom were granted time till 15.11.2012 failing which Suo Motu action was to be initiated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>: Arunachal Pradesh ERC stated that it came into workable stage only in July 2011. The Utilities in Arunachal Pradesh, which are Government Departments, have already been requested to file the Tariff Petitions and that they are in the final stages of filing their respective Tariff Petitions for the first time. The Commission has so far not taken any Suo Motu action due to delay on this account as the Departments are new to this exercise and doing it for the first time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>: Karnataka Commission has stated that a separate order on fuel surcharge adjustment would be issued in due course of time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>: Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand ERCs have not provided fuel surcharge adjustment mechanism in the regulations as there are no thermal generating stations in these States. However, such provision has been provided in the MYT Regulations of Uttarakhand which will come into effect from April 01, 2013, for the Control Period FY 2013-14 with quarterly adjustments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>: The Commission of Uttarakhand has stated that the FSA provision has been made in the Multi Year Tariff (MYT) Regulations for the Control Period FY 2013-14 with quarterly adjustments. However, at present, fuel surcharge adjustment bills raised by Central Generating stations are being paid by the licensee and the same is being allowed as power purchase cost in the ARR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>: Karnataka Commission in the tariff order for 2012-13 issued on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2012 directed all the licensees to submit certain data for fuel cost variation. After the analysis of the same, a separate order would be issued to be effective from the current year.

<sup>9:</sup> Sikkim ERC has stated that FSA will be provided in the next tariff order

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>: JERC (M&M) has stated that FSA has not been made due to non availability of fossil fuel based generation in the State.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>: Karnataka Commission has carried forward the gap to the next year.

- <sup>12</sup>: JERC (M&M) has reported both monthly/ quarterly adjustment of Fuel Surcharge
- <sup>13</sup>: Although the regulations provide for quarterly adjustment of Fuel Surcharge in the State of Rajasthan, no information has been provided regarding the actual adjustments being made in FY 2012-13. In the State of Sikkim, FSA will be provided in the next tariff order. The Commission of Uttarakhand has stated that the FSA provision has been made in the Multi Year Tariff (MYT) Regulations for the Control Period FY 2013-14 with quarterly adjustments. However, at present, fuel surcharge adjustment bills raised by Central Generating stations are being paid by the licensee and the same is being allowed as power purchase cost in the ARR.

For the State of Uttar Pradesh, in tariff order dated 19th Oct, 2012 it has been provided that the FPPCA will be applicable from Jan-March 2013 quarter. Haryana has reported that as per the regulations utility can recover FSA in respect of approved sources of power purchase on monthly basis but the FSA is generally for short term purchases for which utility has to file separate petition. Rajasthan has not provided information regarding actual adjustments for FSA in FY 2012-13. JERC (M&M) has stated that FSA has not been made due to non availability of fossil fuel based generation in the State.

West Bengal is doing yearly (FCA/FPPCA) and Monthly (MFCA/MVCA) subject to reconciliation along with FCA/FPPCA.

- <sup>14</sup>: The True-up for 2010-11 for Punjab could not be undertaken, as PSPCL did not submit Audited Accounts due to non finalization of Transfer Scheme by the Govt. of Punjab.
- <sup>15</sup>: The Sikkim Commission began functioning only in Nov. 2011. Therefore, data for the period prior to 2011 is not available. However, SSERC issued Suo Moto Tariff order on 30.03.2012 for 2012-13.
- <sup>16</sup>: First tariff order for Sikkim issued for 2012-13. FSA formula will be provided in the next tariff order.
- <sup>17</sup>: West Bengal Commission adjusted part of the Regulatory Assets created out APR/ Truing up for 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11.
- ^: Despite showing no revenue gap between ARR and ACS, Tamil Nadu Commission has stated that Regulatory Assets have been created. In case of J &K, the revenue gap is being met by the State Government and in case of Uttar Pradesh (for NPCL) the revenue gap is being met by way of regulatory surcharge of 8%.

The Commissions of Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh (for NPCL) and Chhattisgarh (for CSPDCL) have reported that the carrying cost shall be/ have been allowed. Delhi Commission has stated that for meeting the revenue gap till FY 2010-11 and liquidation of revenue gap, the Commission has decided to introduce a surcharge of 8% over the revised tariff in FY 2012-13. Bihar Commission and JERC (Goa & UTs) have created regulatory assets but did not allow the carrying cost of these regulatory assets.